

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **Training Seminars on Building Energy Codes**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

**I. Technical Criteria (45 possible points)** Score Possible

Extent of benefits relating to ARRA & SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.  <i><b>ARRA Goals:</b> job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i> <i><b>SEP Goals:</b> energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i>		<u>15</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.		<u>5</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
--	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

Extent of anticipated outcome from the project in terms of number of courses held and possible number of course participants. (Projected: 10 Residential/200, 10 Commercial/500)		<u>25</u>
Extent of outreach to policymakers (City/County)		<u>20</u>

Total Points:   100

NOTES:

---



---



---

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **Home Energy Rating System (HERS) Training Seminars**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

<b>I. <u>Technical Criteria (45 possible points)</u></b>	Score	Possible
--	-------	----------

Extent of benefits relating to ARRA & SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.  <i><b>ARRA Goals:</b> job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i> <i><b>SEP Goals:</b> energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i>		<u>15</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.		<u>5</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
--	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

Extent of anticipated outcome from the project in terms of number of courses held and possible number of course participants. (Projected: 2 workshops/50 attendees)		<u>25</u>
Procedure for reimbursement of course fees to course participants who become fully certified raters. A detailed description of task elements and a realistic timeline for each element should be included.		<u>20</u>

Total Points:	<b><u>100</u></b>
---------------	-------------------

NOTES:

---



---



---

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **Energy Audit Training Seminars**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

<b>I. <u>Technical Criteria (45 possible points)</u></b>	Score	Possible
--	-------	----------

Extent of benefits relating to ARRA & SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.  <i><b>ARRA Goals:</b> job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i> <i><b>SEP Goals:</b> energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i>		<u>15</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.		<u>5</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
--	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

Extent of anticipated outcome from the project in terms of number of courses held and possible number of course participants. (Projected: 5 Residential/100, 5 Commercial/100)		<u>25</u>
Method of testing and certification for completing residential and/or commercial energy audit training.		<u>20</u>

Total Points: 

<b><u>100</u></b>
-------------------

NOTES:

---



---



---



---

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **High Performance Building Training Seminars**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

**I. Technical Criteria (45 possible points)** Score Possible

<p>Extent of benefits relating to ARRA &amp; SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.</p> <p><b><u>ARRA Goals:</u></b> <i>job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i></p> <p><b><u>SEP Goals:</u></b> <i>energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i></p>		<u>15</u>
<p>Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Degree of leveraging for proposed project</p>		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

<p>Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.</p>		<u>10</u>
---	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

<p>Extent of anticipated outcome from the project in terms of number of courses held and possible number of course participants. (Projected: 10 Commercial/200)</p>		<u>25</u>
<p>Provide certification for course completion</p>		<u>20</u>

Total Points: 

	<b><u>100</u></b>
--	-------------------

NOTES:

---



---



---



---

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **Automotive Industrial Efficiency Program**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

**I. Technical Criteria (45 possible points)** Score Possible

Extent of benefits relating to ARRA & SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.  <i><b>ARRA Goals:</b> job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i> <i><b>SEP Goals:</b> energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i>		<u>15</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.		<u>5</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
--	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

Proposing entity provides demonstrated knowledge of the Alabama automotive industry, including suppliers.		<u>10</u>
Demonstrated prior experience in the application of continuous improvement principles to the automotive industry in Alabama.		<u>10</u>
Existing staff trained in the implementation of continuous improvement principles, including Lean, Environmental waste reduction and Energy Efficiency.		<u>10</u>
Proven relationships with automotive suppliers in Alabama.		<u>10</u>
Demonstrated relationship with Alabama manufacturing associations (such as the Alabama Automotive Manufacturers Association, ManufactureAlabama!, Business Council of Alabama, etc.)		<u>5</u>

Total Points:   **100**

NOTES:

---



---

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **Energy-Efficient Retrofits of Schools**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

<b>I. <u>Technical Criteria (45 possible points)</u></b>	Score	Possible
--	-------	----------

Extent of benefits relating to ARRA & SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.  <i><b>ARRA Goals:</b> job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i> <i><b>SEP Goals:</b> energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i>		<u>15</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.		<u>5</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
--	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

Documentation that at least 60% of the student body for the entire system qualifies for free or reduced lunch.		<u>25</u>
A current State Department of Education <i>Facilities Assessment Report</i> identifying the facility's moderate or severe needs must be included.		<u>20</u>

Total Points: 

<b><u>100</u></b>
-------------------

NOTES:

---



---



---



---

**SEP-ARRA Proposal Review Sheet**

Category: **Energy-Efficient Retrofits of State Correctional Facilities**

Reviewer:

Proposer:

Date:

Requested Budget: \$

Recommended Budget: \$

**I. Technical Criteria (45 possible points)**

Score Possible

<p>Extent of benefits relating to ARRA &amp; SEP goals, how well the proposal combines the goals and objectives of both, and proposes the realistic accomplishment and key components of both.</p> <p><b><i>ARRA Goals:</i></b> <i>job creation and retention, energy savings, renewable energy development, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions measured in CO2 equivalents</i></p> <p><b><i>SEP Goals:</i></b> <i>energy cost savings, increased energy efficiency, reduction of reliance on imported energy, improving the reliability of electricity and fuel supply and the delivery of energy services, and reducing the impacts of energy production and use on the environment.</i></p>		<u>15</u>
<p>Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits, disseminating results of program(s), target audience, and proposed mechanism to reach audience.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Qualifications and experience of Offerer in proposed project.</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided</p>		<u>5</u>
<p>Degree of leveraging for proposed project</p>		<u>5</u>

**II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)**

<p>Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.</p>		<u>10</u>
---	--	-----------

**III. Program Specific (45 possible points)**

<p>Estimated energy cost reduction and energy usage reduction per square foot (<i>energy reductions for the Department should exceed 350,000 Million Source Btus per year and more than 50 % of the facilities operated by the Department should receive energy improvements</i>)</p>		<u>15</u>
<p>Estimated investment amount per square foot</p>		<u>10</u>
<p>Estimates of greenhouse gas emission reductions and number of jobs created/retained</p>		<u>10</u>
<p>A list of retrofits for each facility</p>		<u>10</u>

Total Points: 

	<b><u>100</u></b>
--	-------------------

NOTES:

---



---



---



---